

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,350	02/25/2004	Ioannis Pallikaris	10781/25	4546
7590 02/26/2008 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395			NGUYEN, VI X	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/786,350 PALLIKARIS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Victor X. Nauven 3734 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8.10-12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 and 16-23 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2007,2008.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/786,350

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

 The previously filed terminal disclaimer submitted on 11/16/2007 was defective since it was signed by an attorney or agent not of record in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Loomas et al (5,403,335) in view of Dybbs (5,308,355)

Loomas discloses in figures 28,29, a surgical device which is suitable to separate the epithelial layer of a comea from the cornea having the limitations as recited in the above listed claims including: a separator or a small spatula (see col. 10, lines 30-33) that is able to separate the epithelial layer as a disk and without rupturing the disk, where the device further has at least one of a ring 136, or a separator support 50 that fits in the groove 18, and where the separator is not sharp enough to excise corneal tissue during operation, where the ring includes a circumferential groove 52 on the side of the eye, and where the separator is provided by external rotating 64.

However Loomas is silent regarding the separator oscillating with a frequency ranging from about 10Hz to about 10KHz. Instead, Loomas indicates that the device Application/Control Number: 10/786,350

Art Unit: 3773

comprises a separator or a small spatula. Dybbs teaches the separator oscillating with a frequency ranging from about 20 MHz.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the separator oscillates with frequency ranging from about 10Hz to about 10KHz, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re. Aller, 220F, 2d 454, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Loomas in view of Urich. Loomas discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Loomas is silent regarding the separator oscillation is provided by piezoelectric forces or electromagnetic forces on the separator. Instead, Loomas indicates that the device comprises a separator or a small spatula. Urich teaches the separator oscillation is provided by piezoelectric forces or electromagnetic forces on the separator (see Col. 1, lines 60-67 and col. 2, lines 45-51).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Loomas by constructing the separator oscillation is provided by piezoelectric forces or electromagnetic forces on the separator as taught by Urich in order to provide a vibratory movement of the surgical device.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,350 Page 4

Art Unit: 3773

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 13 and 16-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ho Jackie can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,350 Page 5

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3734

VN 2/18/2008

/Julian W. Woo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773